



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

FAO-M-14-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-29.7.2025

Pardeep Kumar ... Appellant

Versus

Shelly ... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA**

Present:- Ms. Kajal Verma, and
Ms. Poonam Thakur, Advocates for the appellant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The appellant assails order dated 4.4.2025 passed by learned Additional District Judge, U.T., Chandigarh vide which learned Additional District Judge, U.T., Chandigarh while disposing an application filed under provisions of Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has awarded maintenance to the respondent @ Rs.8,000/- per month i.e. Rs.3,000/- per month for the wife and Rs.5,000/- per month for the minor daughter from the date of filing the application alongwith Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses.
2. Learned Trial Court while reaching at the said finding noticed that the petitioner (husband) was having monthly income of Rs.19,410/- being employed as Lab Technician in the office District Health and Family Welfare, U.T., Chandigarh. Learned Trial Court also noticed the fact that petitioner's wife was working in Deltron Limited Company, Industrial Area-1,



FAO-M-14-2025 (O&M) (2)

Chandigarh and was drawing a salary of Rs.11,000/- per month after the deductions to the extent of about Rs.10,000/- per month.

3. Having regard to the financial status of the parties and also bearing in mind that the minor daughter of parties is being looked after by the respondent, we do not find the quantum of maintenance to be excessive by any standards. There is no infirmity in the impugned order and the same is upheld. Finding no merit in the appeal the same is hereby dismissed.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

29.7.2025
Pankaj

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No