



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

CWP-29268-2019**Date of Decision: 26.08.2025**

Ved Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate with
Mr. Viren Nehra and Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Moudgil, Advocate,
for respondent No.3 (through V.C.)

Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that though, the jurisdiction to entertain the plea of petitioner for promotion to the Indian Forest Services (for short 'IFS') falls within the purview of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh but as the Coram of the Tribunal was not complete, the petitioner was left with no other remedy but to approach this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the Coram of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, is complete now.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be



relegated to his remedy before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, but as the pleadings are complete in the present case, rather than filing a fresh OA for the consideration of the same prayer before the Central Administrative Tribunal, the present paper-book be also sent before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, so as to save the precious time of the Tribunal as well, to complete the same pleadings once again.

4. Learned State counsel raises no objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Keeping in view the above, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh. Keeping in view the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, let the paper-book of the present writ petition be also sent to the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, so that the adjudication can be done on the basis of the pleadings already on record, rather than again filing of the same pleadings for the adjudication.

6. Let the parties appear before the Tribunal on 29.09.2025.

7. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

August 26, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No