



ARB-427-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

ARB-427-2025

Date of Decision: 13.08.2025

M/s Talwinder Singh and Company

...Applicant

Versus

The Executive Engineer, Punjab State Sports Council and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.
2. Pursuant to tender, the applicant was allotted work by the respondent vide letter dated 04.10.2021. A dispute erupted between the parties. There is an arbitration clause in the tender document. The applicant served notice upon the respondent seeking resolution of dispute through Arbitral Tribunal but to no avail.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that claim of the applicant was considered by the competent authority and due payment was made during the pendency of instant application. The applicant is trying to drag the respondent to unwarranted litigation.
4. The issues raised by parties need to be adjudicated by Arbitral Tribunal.

**ARB-427-2025****-2-**

5. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

6. Mr. Surinder Kumar, Additional District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), residing at House No. 391, Sector-4, Panchkula, Haryana, Mobile No.9896977555 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

7. The parties at the first instance will appear before the Arbitrator on 27.08.2025 at 10:00 AM and thereafter, as directed by learned Arbitrator.

8. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.

9. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

10. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

11. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Mr. Surinder Kumar.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

13.08.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No