

-1-

**Date of Decision: 19.11.2025**

**...Petitioner(s)**

**...Respondent(s)**

**...Petitioner(s)**

**...Respondent(s)**

**...Petitioner(s)**

**...Respondent(s)**

**...Petitioner(s)**

**...Respondent(s)**

**...Petitioner(s)**

**...Respondent(s)**



**CWP-21304-2025**  
with connected matters

-2-

**6. CWP-26724-2025**

**DEVENDER KUMAR AND ANOTHER** ...Petitioner(s)

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS** ...Respondent(s)

**7. CWP-20336-2025**

**AJAY MALIK** ...Petitioner(s)

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS** ...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present:- Mr. R. S. Dhull, Advocate with Mr. Navnit Sharma, Advocate;  
Mr. Amit Dhanda, Advocate for Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate;  
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate with  
Mr. Vikrant Koundal, Advocate;  
Mr. Mandeep Singh Lamba, Advocate and  
Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with  
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate;  
Mr. Gurnoor Singh Sandhu, Advocate; and  
Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate  
for the respondent(s)/HPSC.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)**

The aforementioned petitions are based upon similar facts involving common issues; accordingly, the same are being decided together.

2. The petitioners in all these petitions have approached this Court, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the result of Screening Test, dated 03.06.2025, on the ground that answers to certain questions in the final answer key have been wrongly altered.



**CWP-21304-2025**  
**with connected matters**

**-3-**

3. Learned counsel for the Commission contend that Screening Test for the post of Assistant Professor (History), in response to advertisement 53 of 2024, was conducted on 18.05.2025. The standard answer key was uploaded on the Commission's website on 19.05.2025, inviting objections from the candidates. The objections so received were sent to a Committee of subject experts, and the final answer key was prepared on the basis of opinion given by them. This led to declaration of result of the Test dated 03.06.2025, and the final answer key was also uploaded on the same day, Annexure P-7. The petitioners approached this Court thereafter taking objections to the result. Although there was no procedure of considering objections at that stage, only for maintaining transparency and fairness the Commission again sent the objections of candidates, including those of the petitioners, for evaluation by the Committee of subject experts. After re-considering the final answer key, the experts suggested only one change, i.e., deletion of question no.50. Following the advice, the question was deleted and the result of Screening Test was revised, which was uploaded vide announcement dated 06.08.2025 along with the final answer key, which is not under challenge in these petitions.

4. In this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) seek permission to withdraw these petitions with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the final answer key as well as the result dated 06.08.2025, if so advised.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty prayed for.

CWP-21304-2025  
with connected matters

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE

19.11.2025  
Ad

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |