



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

**CRM-M-43569-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.08.2025**

KEWAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Ankit Bhardwaj, Advocate with
Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for respondents No. 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 not to interfere in the land measuring 63 Kanals 13 Marlas, on the asking of the private respondents and to restrain them from using the disputed land as an order of injunction has been passed by Civil Court in petitioner's favour.

2. It has been specifically stated and argued before this Court on 04.09.2024 when the matter was taken up for preliminary hearing that the petitioner is in possession of the land measuring 63 Kanals 13 Marlas and a stay order has already been passed in his favour by the Civil Judge (Junior



Division) Baba Bakala Sahib on 20.12.2023 in a suit for permanent injunction.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents No. 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9 submits that it is already recorded in the order dated 20.12.2024 passed in Civil Suit No. 493 of 2023 that the petitioner-plaintiff therein has obtained the stay order dated 20.12.2023 by concealing the material fact i.e. Institution of a Civil Suit qua the same property titled as “Karanpreet Singh versus Manjit Singh and others”

4. In view of the aforesaid, the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Baba Bakala Sahib vide order dated 20.12.2024, vacated the interim order granted on 20.12.2023 after specifically recording that there was a major concealment on the part of the petitioner-plaintiff therein while obtaining the stay order.

5. Learned State Counsel, however, submits that the Police is not seized of any complaint nor any such complaint is under active consideration. Being a civil matter, the application submitted to them had already been consigned. In view of the given facts the prayer of the petitioner was to restrain respondent No.3 i.e. the Station House Officer, Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar from interfering in his possession does not survive any further.

6. The present writ petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage.



7. All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

AUGUST 27, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No