



**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21580-2025

Date of Decision: 30.07.2025

SURESH PAL

... PETITIONER

VS.

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS
.. RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. H.K.Brinda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents-Corporation to pay the salary of the petitioner for the month of September 2024, remaining 10% of GPF amount, determined post retirement benefits including pension and any other arrears alongwith interest.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that for the claim made in the present petition, the petitioner has issued a legal notice dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-4). However, the respondent-Corporation has only granted the relief of one increment w.e.f. 01.01.1996 and paid the arrears after determining the basic pay of petitioner as Rs.70,600/- per month but without any interest. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner shall be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.3 to consider the claim of the petitioner

afresh, as raised in the legal notice dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-4), qua the remaining benefits sought by him.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sangam Garg, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 and filed memorandum of appearance which is taken on record. He does not oppose the prayer, as made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-4) afresh within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Needless to say that the legal notice shall be decided after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and by passing a detailed and reasoned speaking order.

7. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

30.07.2025
smriti

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No