

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:137630



218

**CRM-M-40329-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2025.**

Robin @ Robin Lahoria

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.133 dated 04.06.2025 under Sections 307, 351(3), 324(4), 194(2), 190, 191(3), 285 of BNS (Section 317(2) of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur.

As per prosecution case, complainant Kashish Kumar suffered a statement that on 04.06.2025, at about 10.A.M., he along with Akashdeep Singh had gone to appear in Court at Sultanpur Lodhi in FIR No.253 of 2023 got registered by Rohan Hans. At about 11/11.30 A.M., when they were standing outside the Court, they found that Rohan Hans and Sapun Sandhu were watching them and had called the petitioner along with Rohan Kumar, Pawandeep Singh, Karan, Lovepreet @ Doctor in the parking area. The petitioner was armed with datar, whereas Robin was armed with

baseball bat and the others were armed with datar like weapons. When they went outside the Court, Ranjit Kumar threatened them either to effect compromise in the cases registered against Rohan Hans or they will face dire consequences. Robin and Rohit, Pawnadeep, Karan, Lovepreet, Sapan Sandhu, Rohin Hans and other unknown 5-6 persons when rushed towards them, they further rushed towards the Court. He made phone call to his father Sandeep Kumar, friend Gagandeep Singh and informed that the above said persons intended to inflict them injuries. At about 1/1.15 P.M. Gagandeep came there on his Bolero vehicle bearing No.PB-41-E-0886 and when they boarded the vehicle in the parking, the above said assailants opened attack, and damaged the window panes of the vehicle and the vehicle. They immediately alighted from the vehicle. When Gagandeep tried to record the incident in his mobile phone then the petitioner snatched his mobile phone and all the assailants started thrashing them and snatched his gold chain. He along with Akashdeep and Gagandeep rushed towards the cabins to save themselves. Many persons gathered at the spot, but the assailants kept on hurling abuses and thereafter, went away on their vehicles. As the assailants have caused affray in general public, action be taken against them. Hence, the present FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner did not participate in the alleged crime. The false allegations of snatching gold chain and mobile have been levelled against the petitioner. The complainant at the first instance stated that there was old enmity between the parties and complainant was facing criminal case and to pressurize the petitioner for compromise, he had concocted a false story. No injury was caused to anyone. Co-accused Pawandeep Singh has already been granted

bail by this Court vide order dated 02.07.2025 in CRM-M-33735-2025. He prayed that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and he is ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and recovery of mobile phone and gold chain is to be effected therefore custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for fair and proper investigation of the present case and as such he is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Heard.

As per prosecution, offence under Section 307 of BNS has been delated vide DDR No.26 dated 20.09.2025 and the other offences are bailable in nature. Co-accused Pawandeep Singh has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 02.07.2025 in CRM-M-33735-2025. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose and no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions, as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

29.09.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No