



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-21050-2025 (O&M)

Decided on :24.07.2025

BHANU PARTAP SINGH

. .Petitioner

Versus

SHRI KRISHNA AYUSH UNIVERSITY KURUKSHETRA AND
OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Vasu Ranjan Shandilya, Advocate and
Mr. Eashan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Virk, Advocate for respondents No. 1 & 2.

Mr. Jitender Singh Chahal, Advocate for respondent No. 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without giving any valid reason despite the fact that the petitioner has studied for academic period after provisional promotion to BAMS Fourth Professional year, but he is still not being allowed by the respondent-university to appear in the BAMS Fourth Professional Examination which is commencing from 29.07.2025 on the ground of incomplete study period. Hence, the petitioner intends to approach the respondent-university concerned by filing a representation claiming therein that the petitioner had already studied for the requisite period alongwith other candidates and therefore, the compassionate view be taken so that the precious year of the petitioner is not wasted,

**CWP-21050-2025 (O&M) -2-**

especially when other candidates who also study in Fourth Professional year alongwith the petitioner are being allowed to appear in the examination.

2. Keeping in view the advance copy given, learned counsel for respondents appears and submits that in case, any representation as stated herein above, would be submitted by the petitioner in the office of the concerned authorities or the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority, within a period of **three days** of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, the petitioner is found entitled for grant of any relief, the same will be granted to him, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order the said reasons will also be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh representation with respondents as stated herein before.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

24.07.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: YES

Whether Reportable: NO