



CRM-M-40608-2025

1

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40608-2025

Date of decision: 30.07.2025

RAJESH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF U.T., CHD

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Prabhpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 73 dated 14.07.2022 under Sections 420,465,467,468,471 and 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station North, Chandigarh.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has demanded an amount of Rs. 1,50,00,000/- from the complainant's brother on the pretext of facilitating Canadian citizenship for him, and that the petitioner provided a forged and fabricated business visa, which is not valid for the intended travel purpose.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. There has been a huge delay in filing a complaint under Section 156(3) of the Cr.P.C and in the registration of the present FIR, as the incident occurred in 2018, whereas the complaint came to be registered in the year 2021. The allegations against the petitioner are that he accepted Rs. 10 lakhs along with one Sanjay Bakshi (now deceased), while the

**CRM-M-40608-2025****2**

rest of the amount was allegedly sent to Bhawna Bakshi and Jayant Bakshi.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He has opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that Bhawna Bakshi, the individual into whose account the funds have been transferred, is the real sister of the petitioner.

6. Mr. Karan Vir Nanda, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

7. I have heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

8. Keeping in view the serious nature of the allegations and the increasing number of fraudulent cases, in which innocent individuals are duped of their hard-earned money through false promises of opportunities abroad, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary, so no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

30.07.2025*renu***(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No