



233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8547-2024

Date of Decision:- 27.03.2025

SIKANDER

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Singla, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Sikander filed petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking appropriate direction to the respondents for premature release on usual terms and conditions as per notification of Government of Haryana dated 13.08.2008 (Annexure P-3) and quashing of order dated 11.12.2023 (Annexure P-4) being illegal, arbitrary and discriminatory or any other direction which the Court may deem fit and proper in the given facts and circumstances of the case.
2. Learned counsel representing State has placed on record custody certificate showing that present petitioner was given benefit of premature release on 09.01.2025, therefore, present petition has rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel representing petitioner stated that he has no information about the premature release of the petitioner.

4. Considering the custody certificate it is clear that petitioner is already granted relief of premature release. Learned counsel representing State has also produced copy of letter vide letter No.458, dated 10.01.2025 regarding premature release of present petitioner addressed to the Director General of Prisons, Haryana, Sector-14, Panchkula.

5. In light of aforesaid factual position, since petitioner is already granted relief, therefore, present petition is, accordingly, disposed of having rendered infructuous.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

27.03.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No