

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

218-2

CRM-M-39553-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.11.2025

Amandeep and another
State of Haryana

Versus

...Petitioners
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate and
Ms. Pranjili Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.


Mr. Kanwal Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
1. Amandeep, aged 21 years	256	05.07.2025	191(2), 191 (3), 190, 109 (1), 115(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS-2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1914	City Fatehabad	Fatehabad
2. Ajanpreet, aged 23 years					

2. On 24.07.2025, this Court passed the following order :-
“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS,



2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
1. Amandeep, aged 21 years	256	05.07.2025	191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 115(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS-2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1914	City Fatehabad	Fatehabad
2. Ajanpreet, aged 23 years					

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that similarly situated co-accused of the petitioners, namely; Gurpreet, has already been granted concession of ad-interim bail vide order dated 22.07.2025, passed in CRM-M-38828-2025, titled as, “Gurpreet v. State of Haryana” (Annexure P-3), and said petition is now posted for its hearing on 29.10.2025. Further submits that as per allegations, no specific role has been attributed to the petitioners. Besides, petitioners are ready to join investigation, if protected from arrest. Thus, he prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner’s counsel.
5. Adjourned to 29.10.2025.
6. In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the

2025.PHHC.152375



investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

7. Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport. It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.


8. To be heard along with CRM-M-38828-2025.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 24.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Pardeep, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 21.08.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated



24.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

03.11.2025

Satyawan

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No