



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

243

CRM-M-39551-2025

Date of decision: 30.07.2025

JAG PARVESH @ KALA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate with
Mr. Ama, Advocate and
Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 seeking regular bail in FIR No.710 dated 12.11.2024 under Sections 109(1), 3(5) of BNS later on added Sections 318(4), 336(3) of BNS, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner alongwith his co-accused and the complainant were sitting together and on having dinner ended up in a quarrel which aggravated into an incident wherein Ashu hit a stick having a nail attached with it on the head of the complainant. It is also alleged that Ashu, Vicky @ Vikas and Kala-the present petitioner, hit the complainant several times with a chair and stick. They are also alleged to have hit the friends of the complainant as well. The petitioner has suffered grievous injuries, however, no injury has been declared as dangerous to life.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody since 22.11.2024. He further contends that charges in the present case have been framed, however trial is yet to commence.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

6. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing of custody certificate dated 30.07.2025 and further states that the petitioner has undergone custody period of 08 months and 09 days and does not refute the fact that trial is yet to commence.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the custody period undergone by the petitioner 08 months and 09 days and also the fact that trial is yet to commence and also conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. This Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.



10. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

30th July, 2025
Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No