



CWP-21113-2025 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-21113-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.07.2025

Shiv Charan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL J.

1. Instant writ petition has been filed *inter alia* for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to lay pipes for drainage of rainy water under the roads constructed by respondent No.3, which has allegedly been dug out by respondent No.8.

2. Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner's father is owner of 2 bigha land in Village Salola, Tehsil Naraingarh, District Ambala. A road was constructed by Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board (HSAMB)-respondent

**CWP-21113-2025 (O&M)**

No.3, connecting village Salola with village Patarheri, and six pipes for drainage of rainy water were laid. Counsel states that Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Village Salola-respondent No. 8 uprooted the pipes and the rainy water has accumulated in the agricultural fields. Counsel submits that representations, Annexures P-2, and P-3 were submitted to the officials respondents, but no action has been taken. A complaint has been given to Superintendent of Police, Ambala, respondent No. 9 to take action against respondent No.8 and petitioner was called to join investigation, but criminal case has not been registered. Reference has also been made by him to representations, Annexures P-7 and P-8, as well as photographs Annexure P-9, depicting the position.

3. I have heard counsel for the petitioner and considered his respective submissions.

4. The entire grievance of the petitioner is that the drainage pipes installed by the HSAMB have been uprooted by respondent No. 8. He has already submitted a complaint with the police, which is being investigated and by virtue of letter dated 24.05.2025, Annexure P-6, he was asked to join the preliminary investigation on 26.05.2025. There is no averment in the petition as to whether the petitioner has joined the investigation or cooperated with the police authorities. Concededly, he does not own any agricultural land in the village.



CWP-21113-2025 (O&M)

Merely submitting representations before different authorities would not give the petitioner a cause to invoke the extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court.

6. There is no merit in the petition, which is dismissed though with no order as to costs.

25.07.2025
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No