

**CRM-M No.39989 of 2025 2**

all the accused persons, namely Lovedeep Singh @ Labhi, Polas, Kala Singh and Resham Singh @ Reshu (petitioner herein), were purportedly in the process of looting passers-by with deadly weapons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even after registration of the FIR, only a *Iron Kappa* has been shown to be recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Therefore, it is argued that petitioner cannot be termed as an accused involved in organized crime with deadly weapons, nor can the offences relating to organized crime be attracted against him.

It is also submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.04.2025, i.e., for more than four months, and after completion of investigation, challan has already been submitted before the trial Court. Hence, his further incarceration will not serve any meaningful purpose for the prosecution.

Learned counsel, thus, prays for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer for bail, submits that the petitioner is also involved in 4 other cases, i.e. FIR No.257 dated 09.11.2019, under Sections 21/61/85 NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bhatinda & FIR No.73 dated 18.05.2024, under Sections 21B/61/85 NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bhatinda & FIR No.180 dated 22.02.2017 under Section 61/1/14 of Excise Act, registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bhatinda, and FIR No. 302 dated 22.10.2018 under Section 379-B IPC registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bhatinda (however, on bail in all the cases) which reflects his criminal antecedents, and therefore, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

However, upon being queried by this Court, learned State counsel fairly concedes that the process of recording the statements of prosecution witnesses has not yet commenced and, at this stage, he is not in a position to specifically controvert the other factual submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the present petition.

6. Consequently, without making any comments over the merits of the case, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made here-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No