

2025:PHHC:013612



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.**

235

**CWP-21793-2024 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 29.01.2025.**

NAWAL KISHORE

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.C. Goyal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition had been filed for directing the respondents to release the regular pension, gratuity and commutation value of pension allegedly withheld by respondent No.6-Superintendent of Police, Rewari.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had retired from the office of Superintendent of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Gurugram on 31.05.2023, however, the retiral benefits had not been released to him.

2025:PHHC:013612



3 Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent State informs that the provisional pension had already been released to the petitioner on 02.06.2023 and that all other financial benefits have also been released on 08.11.2024. He contends that a vigilance inquiry dated 26.07.2019 was pending against the petitioner and in the wake of provisions enshrined in Rule 81 (1) C of the Haryana Civil Services (Pension Rules), 2016, gratuity and commuted value of pension was not to be released till culmination of the departmental or judicial proceedings. The said vigilance enquiry was finally concluded and was ordered to be filed by the competent authority vide order dated 30.08.2024 whereupon all the admissible benefits already stand released.

5 The aforesaid fact is not disputed by the counsel for the petitioner.

6 In view of above, since the financial benefits admissible to the petitioner already stand released and a reasonable explanation has been offered by the respondent highlighting that the provisional pension had already been released and that the complete financial benefits could not be released on account of pending vigilance enquiry, I find that there is a sufficient explanation afforded by the respondents. The instant writ petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

January 29, 2025.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No