



COCP-2753-2023 (O&M)

-1-

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2753-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2025**

Baldeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Baldev Singh Sran and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present : Ms. Dilpreet Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karmanbir Singh Kharbanda, Advocate,
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 01.02.2023 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA-1509-2018.

2. The Division Bench of this Court had issued the following directions, vide its order dated 01.02.2023, in LPA-1509-2018:-

“14. Accordingly, the appeal is allowed to the extent that the recovery further beyond October, 2013 is not permissible and shall be done by taking recourse, if permissible in accordance with law, but the disconnection as such cannot be done of the appellant on account of the arrears which are outstanding as per the bill on the basis of checking report conducted on 21.10.2015 by raising a demand from September, 2009 to



October, 2015. Any such recovery shall also be done after adjusting the sum of ₹9,60,000/- which already stands paid out of the ₹24,94,336/- claimed.”

3. In compliance of the order dated 01.02.2023, compliance affidavit dated 12.12.2023 of Gurmeet Singh, Additional Superintending Engineer, Model Town, Division PSPCL, Ludhiana, has been filed on behalf of the respondents, which is taken on record. The relevant portion of the said affidavit is reproduced as under:-

“5. That in compliance of the order dated 01.02.2023 passed in LPA No.1509 of 2018, PSPCL has not disconnected the connection of the petitioner, has not charged recovery beyond October, 2013 and also has adjusted the sum of Rs.9,60,000/- (Rupees Nine Lakh Sixty Thousand) which had already been paid by the petitioner.

6. That with the perusal of Annexure P-2 (Calculations) that has been attached by the petitioner in the present contempt, it is clear that the petitioner has only been charged after October, 2013 to August, 2015 in compliance of the LPA order dated 01.02.2023 and also PSPCL has adjusted Rs.9,60,000/- deposited by the petitioner.”

4. Learned counsel for the respondents contends that order dated 01.02.2023 passed by learned Division Bench in LPA-1509-2018 has been complied with.



COCp-2753-2023 (O&M)

-3-

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid fact and wishes to withdraw the present petition.
6. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule stands discharged.
7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

25.08.2025

Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No