



CWP-21217-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-21217-2025

Date of Decision: 28.07.2025

Vinod Chand**...Petitioner****Versus****Chandigarh Administration and another****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Tapish Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
(*through video conferencing*)

Ms. Priyanka Kansal, Additional Standing Counsel and
Mr. Abhishek K. Premi, Junior Panel Counsel,
for U.T. Chandigarh-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider his claim for Deputy Commissioner ('DC') rate.

2. The petitioner is claiming that he is working with respondent since 1995. In January' 2012, he was given option to opt for either DC rate or basic plus dearness allowance. He opted for basic plus dearness allowance. On 31.10.2023, Indian Council for Child Welfare was dissolved and Chandigarh Child and Women Development Corporation was constituted. The petitioner was appointed on contractual basis. He is working as a contractual employee. He is not paid even DC rate. The



respondent in its reply dated 19.03.2025 has informed that the matter is under consideration.

3. Ms. Priyanka Kansal, Additional Standing Counsel for U.T. Chandigarh, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that competent authority would decide question of DC rate at the earliest, preferably within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.07.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No