

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8815-2023
DECIDED ON: 05.02.2025

KIMAKSHIPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rubal Pawar, AAG Punjab
Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of habeas corpus for releasing detainee namely Ganishka d/o petitioner and for the appointment of warrant officer to get the detainee released from the illegal custody of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous in the light of the fact that parents of minor child in question (detinue) are living in together.

This fact has not been controverted by learned counsel for the respondent no.4.

In the light of above, this Court is of the view that this petition do not warrant any further order.

Hence the same is disposed of, having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

05.02.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No