



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-4381-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 01.09.2025

M.L. Sharma @ Manohar Lal Sharma

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

M/s Kreinto Construction & Anr.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Sachin Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the contesting respondent No.1 states that the matter stands settled between the parties.
2. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for respondent No.1, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not wish to press the present petition. He, however, seeks liberty to get the matter revived in case anything survives.
3. Dismissed as not pressed with the liberty aforesaid. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

01.09.2025
Yogesh Sharma

**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO