



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CRM-M-41193-2025
Decided on : 11.12.2025

Babulal Yadav and Ors.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Anr.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Rubi Khokhar, Advocate for
Mr. Anuj Dewan, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Komal Bishnoi, Advocate for
Mr. Komal Bishnoi, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed u/s 528 of BNSS, 2023, for quashing of FIR No.318, dated 25.05.2017, under Sections 323, 34, 506 of IPC, 1860, registered at P.S. Palam Vihar, District Gurugram, Haryana (Annexure P-1), in view of compromise dated 12.07.2025 (Annexure P-2), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. After hearing learned State counsel, on 15.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“ At the outset, learned State counsel points out that one of the injured, namely; Jaipal, is not a party in the instant compromise quashing petition.

List again on 11.12.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to do the needful and place on record the amended memo of parties by impleading the remaining injured, ‘Jaipal’, in the array of respondents, along with proof of the compromise so effected with him as well.”

3. Today, at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners



prays for withdrawal of the present petition, with liberty to file a fresh one with better particulars, after impleading all the necessary parties.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as sought and recorded here-above.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 11, 2025
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No