



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-20914-2025

Date of Decision : **July 24, 2025**

VEER DAVINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORPORATION LIMITED
(PUNSUP) AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present : Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for the petitioner.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for issuance of directions to respondent No.2-Appellate Authority to decide the statutory appeal dated 09.07.2025 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner against the punishment order dated 10.06.2025 (Annexures P-1), in a time bound manner. Further directions have been sought that respondent No.1 could not effect recovery from the salary of the petitioner pursuance to issuance of punishment order dated 10.06.2025 till the decision of the statutory appeal.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the statutory appeal expeditiously by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner and

till decision of the said appeal, no recovery may be effected from the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection if the time bound directions are issued to the Appellate Authority to consider and decide the appeal filed by the petitioner.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Appellate Authority to decide the statutory appeal dated 09.07.2025 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 06 months from date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further recovery from the petitioner shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the Appellate Authority.

6. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

July 24, 2025
ajaysharma

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No