

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:126098



124+245

CRM-M-39513-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.09.2025.

Malkit Kaur @ Malkeet Kaur @ Keepo

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate and
Mr. Kashav Chadha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-36930-2025

Prayer made in the application is for placing on record copy of disclosure statement as Annexure P3.

Application is allowed. Annexure P3 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.59 dated 13.04.2025, under Sections 21 and 22 of NDPS Act (Sections 27-A and 29 of NDPS Act, Section 25 of the Arms Act and Section 111 of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Kot Ise Khan, District Moga.

As per prosecution case, FIR in the present case was registered

on the basis of secret information. Co-accused Sukhwinder Singh was found in possession of 258 grams of heroin and co-accused Satnam Singh was found in possession of 195 loose intoxicant tablets. The petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Satnam Singh @ Nishan Singh @ Sana. The petitioner is alleged to be indulging in the trafficking of narcotics.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Satnam Singh @ Nishan Singh @ Sana. Recovery in this case has been effected from the co-accused. The petitioner is in custody since long. He has urged that as trial of the case is likely to take time, therefore, the petitioner be granted concession of regular bail.

Notice was issued in this case on 05.08.2025 and status report was called from the State, which was filed on 19.08.2025 and the same was taken on record.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition and submitted that the petitioner is indulging in sale and purchase of narcotics. She has been specifically named in the disclosure statement of co-accused and as such she is not entitled to the concession of regular bail.

Heard.

As per the allegations, the name of the petitioner surfaced in the present case, only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Satnam Singh, who disclosed that along with him the petitioner also used to indulge in selling of the narcotics. Except the disclosure statement, there is no material on record to connect the petitioner with the offence in question. Alleged recovery of contraband has already been effected in the present

case from co-accused. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody since 04 months and 27 days. The conclusion of trial is likely to take time, so no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

12.09.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No