110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:091690

CWP No.20834 of 2025
Date of Decision: 24.07.2025

Bikram Singh

….Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

….Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Satpreet Grewal Kapila, Advocate (though VC)
Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab

***

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 28.04.2023 (Annexure P-7) whereby he has been awarded punishment of forfeiture of one increment. In alternative, he is seeking direction to respondent No. 2 to decide his appeal in a time bound manner.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed if the respondent No. 2 is directed to decide his representation in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2025.07.24 02:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

4. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice.

5. On request of both sides, the petition is taken up for final disposal today itself.

6. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab states that Appellate Authority would decide appeal of the petitioner within two months from today.

7. Counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

8. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of. The Appellate Authority shall decide appeal of the petitioner within two months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2025.07.24 02:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document