



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CRM-M-39617-2025
Decided On: 28.07.2025**

SUKHCHAIN SINGH ALIAS CHAINI BABA

....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satjot Singh Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J.(Oral)

The petition has been filed for setting aside order dated 11.07.2025, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa, whereby the petitioner's bail has been cancelled, and bail bonds and surety bonds have been forfeited to the State. Non-bailable warrants have been issued against him for 14.08.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is an accused in another case titled *State v. Jagtar Singh*, which is pending trial before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sunam. On 11.07.2025 he appeared in the case along with his counsel, which is apparent from the short order dated 11.07.2025, Annexure P-3. This is the only reason; he could not appear before the Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa, on that date. He duly instructed his counsel to bring this fact to the notice of the Court at Mansa, however, it could not be done. He should not be made to suffer for the fault of his counsel. The petitioner has otherwise been regularly appearing before the trial Court after grant of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 14.11.2024.



3. Learned State counsel, however, contends that the petitioner did not appear before the Court nor his involvement in any other case was brought to the Court’s notice. Therefore, no exception can be taken to the impugned order dated 11.07.2025, cancelling his bail.
4. Considering the facts aforementioned, especially that the petitioner was present along with his counsel in another case, *State v. Jagtar Singh*, before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sunam, on 11.07.2025, his non-appearance before the Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa, on that date cannot be termed intentional. Therefore, it is deemed appropriate to set aside the order dated 11.07.2025, directing the petitioner to appear before the Court on the date fixed, i.e., 14.08.2025.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. In case the petitioner fails to appear before the Court at Mansa in future, whenever required, the Court will be at liberty to proceed against him in accordance with law.

28.07.2025

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No