

CRM-M-44685-2024
CRM-M-45338-2024

1

2025:PHHC:173635



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

306 CRM-M-44685-2024

AKHTAR ALIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORSRespondents

CRM-M-45338-2024

FURKAN AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORSRespondents

Decided on :11.12.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-44685-2024 and
for respondent Nos.2 to 8 in CRM-M-45338-2024.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-45338-2024 and
for respondent Nos.2 to 4 in CRM-M-44685-2024.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Petition i.e. CRM-M-44685-2024 has been filed for quashing of DDR No.22(A) Dated 12.9.2014 Under Sections 148,149,323,324,506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered as Cross case in FIR No.142 dated 15.8.2014 registered at Police Station: Khizrabad, District Yamuna Nagar, and judgment of conviction u/s 323 and 326 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, in Case No.21-2020 dated



12.4.2023 passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bilaspur, on the basis of compromise dated 29.05.2023 (Annexure P-3).

Petition i.e. CRM-M-45338-2024 has been filed for quashing of FIR No.142 dated 15.8.2014 under Sections 148,149,323,324,506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station: Khizrabad, District Yamuna Nagar, and judgment of conviction u/s 148,323,324,325,326,506 r/w 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, in Case No. 66 of 2015 dated 12.4.2023 passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bilaspur, on the basis of compromise dated 29.05.2023 (Annexure P-3).

2. Vide order dated 21.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bilaspur, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s), compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR as well as DDR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:



Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	37 accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Seven victims/complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nothing worth noticing reported

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bilaspur, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*,



and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR as well as DDR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

- 7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below
- 8. Both the petitions stand disposed of.
- 9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

11.12.2025
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO