

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

218-1

CRM-M-38828-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.11.2025

Gurpreet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate and
Ms. Pranjili Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwal Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Gurpreet, aged 29 years	256	05.07.2025	191(2), 191 (3), 190, 109 (1), 115(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS-2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1914	City Fatehabad	Fatehabad

2. On 22.07.2025, this Court passed the following order :-

“Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case

arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Gurpreet, aged 29 years	256	05.07.2025	191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 115(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS-2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1914	City Fatehabad	Fatehabad

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in regard to the incident in question which happened on 04.07.2024 at about 6:00 PM, one FIR No.255 dated 04.07.2025, under Sections 109(1), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, was registered at the instance of one Charanjit Singh @ Rohit.

Regarding the same incident, complainant Sita Devi (complainant in the cross version case) also got registered one impugned FIR No.256 dated 05.07.2025 (next day), under Section 191(2), 191(3), 190, 109(1), 115(2), 333, 351(2) of BNS and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1914, at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad. Thus submits that petitioner has been involved as accused in a concocted cross-version case, that too at a belated stage i.e. after a delay of one day.

3. None of the injuries suffered by the injured Sita Devi has been declared dangerous to life, rather same are opined to be simple in nature. Moreover, petitioner has not been attributed any specific injury. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions

addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report.

6. *Adjourned to 29.10.2025.*

7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, the petitioner would submit/surrender his passport before the Arresting Officer and an undertaking on oath that in case of leaving the Country, he would seek prior permission from the Investigating Agency/concerned Court.”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 22.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Pardeep, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 21.08.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 22.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly,

present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

03.11.2025

Satyawan

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE