



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-39094-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.11.2025

Jagjeet Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.87 dated 17.04.2025, registered under Section(s) 21-B of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa (Haryana).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the prosecution story, the petitioner was arrested on 17.04.2025 for being in possession of 18 grams 29 milligrams of *heroin* without any permit or license in the area of Police Station Kalanwali, Sirsa. He contends the recovery effected in the present case falls under the category of non-commercial quantity. He further contends that the petitioner has undergone an actual custody of more than 07 months and the trial is yet to commence as



out of 15 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far.

3. Learned State counsel contends that the petitioner is involved in other cases also, it is however not disputed that in those cases either he is on bail or has already undergone the sentence awarded to him. She further does not dispute that the recovered contraband is non-commercial.

4. Taking into consideration the facts that the recovery in the present case is non-commercial as well as the period of custody alongwith the stage of trial and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

19.11.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No