



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

251

CRM-M-38963 of 2025
Date of decision: 28.07.2025

Akshit Kumar @ Akku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ankit Bishnoi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 in case FIR No.59 dated 26.04.2025, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 190, 191(3), 287, 61(2) BNS, 2023 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at P.S. Odhan, Sirsa.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 25.04.2025 injured Vikas (brother of the complainant) had received two fire-arm injuries, which have been attributed to co-accused Sonu and other accused. The petitioner being 21 years old boy has been apprehended under Section 61(2) BNS on account of part of criminal conspiracy as he had made the video of the incident in question viral by putting it on his Facebook account.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that apart from the above-mentioned, no role has been attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody for the last 2 months and 21 days as under trial and the trial is yet to commence, therefore, petitioner be released on regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.



5. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 months and 21 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and the fact that trial is yet to commence; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.07.2025

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No