

CRM-M-38987-2025

#1#

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Date of Decision:-11.09.2025

CRM-M-38987-2025

Hari Kishan.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

2.

CRM-M-39099-2025

Gagandeep Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

3.

CRM-M-45426-2025

Aakash.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

4.

CRM-M-45559-2025

Gora @ Hassanpreet Singh.

.....Petitioner.


Vs.

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate for the Petitioners
(in all the petitions).



Mr. T.P. Singh, Senior Deputy Advocate General Haryana.


JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

By this common order I shall dispose of all the aforementioned petitions as the same have arisen out of one and the same FIR.

2. The Prayer in these petitions under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.268 dated 04.07.2024 under Sections 103(1) (Section 190, 191(2), 191(3) has been added later on) registered at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa.

3. The present FIR came to be registered at the instance of Karamtej Singh son of Darshan Singh and reads as under:-


“ Statement of Karamtej son of Darshan Singh resident of Alikan, District Sirsa aged 25 years mobile no. 7973861857 stated that i am resident of above mentioned address and do Labour work. We are three brothers. All the three are married. We two brothers live together. Our parents live with us. Gagga Singh @ Baldev Singh son of Avtar Singh, Kuldeep s/o Sukhdev Singh, Manpreet Singh @ Jutti Lovhi son of Jaswinder Singh, all residents of Village Alikan are from our caste. There is a dispute with them for long time for the quarrel of the women. Due to this dispute they have threatened us to see us. On yesterday dated 03.07.2024 at around 10.00 pm. I alongwith my father Darshan Singh was sitting on Panchayat Bench in front of our house. In the meantime, Manpreet Singh alias Jutti, Labbi Singh, Kuldeep and Baldev Singh were seen coming towards them in the street with axes in their hands and 5-6 other people were also with sticks. D have seen them in tube lights which is handing in our house. I thought that they must be going somewhere else, but they came in front of our house and said that 'today they will teach them a lesson'. Manpreet abused my father Darshan Singh. When my father stopped him from abusing, Manpreet inflicted the injuries on the shoulder of my father with the Gandasa. My



father fell down and Labbi and Baldev also hit my father on his left leg and arm with the Gandasa. I tried to save my father but they pushed me away. I shouted to save my father but all those peoples did not stop hitting my father. When there was a commotion, my mother and the people from the neighbourhood gathered and said he has been killed. On seeing a large number of people, all the assailants fled from the spot. Thereafter, I put my father on coat with blood stained and thereafter the ambulance was called by my family member and we rushed my father at SDCH Dabwali. During treatment my father has died. My father was murdered by Gagga Singh @ Baldev Singh s/o Avtar Singh, Kuldeep Singh son of Sukhdev Singh, Manpreet Singh Jutti and Labbi son of Jaswinder r/o Alikan and others persons have killed my father. Legal action be taken against the above mentioned accused persons and justice be done to my family. Sd/-Karamtej Singh dated 04.07.2024."

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the names of the petitioners does not find mention in the FIR. They came to be nominated as accused on the basis of the disclosure statement of the arrested accused. As they are in custody since 08.07.2024, 15.07.2024, 09.07.2024 and 09.07.2024 respectively but none of the 21 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, they are entitled to the concession of bail.

5. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has filed separate Status reports dated 09.09.2025 by way of affidavit of Mr. Kapil Ahlawat, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (HQ) Dabwali in the court today, which is taken on record. While referring to the same, he contends that though the petitioners are not named in the FIR, on their arrest a motor cycle no.HR25J 4460 make Splendor Plus and danda came to be recovered




from Hari Kishan, one *gandasi* was recovered from Hasanpreet Singh @ Gora, a motor cycle bearing no.PB37K-3187 make HF Deluxe Colur from Aakash and a motor cycle no.HR25G 355 Make Platina from Gagandeep Singh @ Kaddha. Therefore, as they have participated in the occurrence, they are not entitled to the concession of bail. He however, concedes that the petitioners are in custody since 08.07.2024, 15.07.2024, 09.07.2024 and 09.07.2024 respectively but none of the 21 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. Admittedly, the petitioners are not named in the FIR. They were nominated as accused on the disclosure statement of the arrested accused. Various articles have been recovered from them. Their culpability if any shall be established during the course of the Trial. They are in custody since 08.07.2024, 15.07.2024, 09.07.2024 and 09.07.2024 respectively but none of the 21 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. Hence, their further incarceration is not required.

8. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners-**Hari Kishan** son of Sh. Ram Kumar, **Gagandeep Singh** son of Sh. Jagdev Singh, **Aakash** son of Sh. Manohar Lal and **Gora @ Hassanpreet Singh** son of Sh. Resham Singh are ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

9. The petitioners shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that they are not involved in any other crime other than the present case.



CRM-M-38987-2025 #5#

10. If the petitioners or any of their family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this Court.
11. In addition the petitioners (or anyone on their behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioners from trial without sufficient cause.
12. The petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

September 11, 2025

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No