



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-21754-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.02.2025

Punjab Chemicals and Crop Protection Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S.Lamba, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. D.S.Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sehar Navjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Sureshwar Thakur, J. (Oral)

1. After hearing the matter for a considerable duration of time and the learned counsel for the petitioner being unable to convince this Court about the instant writ petition, rather being an efficacious remedy, despite the existence of an alternative efficacious remedy, to the instant petitioner, thus through his challenging all the impugned annexures, hence before the competent appellate authority. As such, ultimately, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission of this Court to withdraw the instant writ petition, but with liberty to challenge all the impugned annexures before the competent appellate authority(ies) concerned.

2. If the said appellate remedies are time barred, thereupon on an application cast under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963 or under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 becoming appended therewith, thereupon a well considered order shall be passed thereons, and, thereafter the appellate motion shall be decided through a valid speaking order. The said appellate motion be decided within three months from today, but after hearing all the affected persons concerned.

3. Disposed of accordingly.

4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 05, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No