

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

2025:PHHC:152911-DB



LPA No. 110 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06th November, 2025

Ashish Gupta and others

..Appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Nikita Sharma, Advocate for appellants.

Mr. D.K. Prajapati, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J(Oral)

1. Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of appellant submits that though the challenge in the present appeal is to the interim order passed by learned Single Judge dated 02.11.2021 but the only grievance is that in case the proceedings are allowed to complete, the same will cause prejudice.
2. Learned Senior counsel for the appellant further submits that continuation of proceedings has not been made subject to the outcome of the writ petition, hence such modification is required
3. No one has appeared on behalf of the UOI-respondent.
4. Keeping in view the fact that the only grievance raised in the appeal is that the continuation of the proceedings before the authority be made subject to outcome of the writ petition filed by the petitioner. This Court is of opinion that such a direction would suffice.
5. It may be noticed that the same will have the effect that in case the writ petition is allowed, any proceedings undertaken will not prejudice the

right decided in favour of petitioner. Therefore, to clarify the position, it is directed that the proceedings which are being undertaken during the pendency of the writ petition, will be subject to final outcome of the writ petition.

- 6. Disposed of with the above observations.
- 7. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

06th November, 2025
reema

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No