



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

281

CRM-M-39138-2025

Date of decision: 28.08.2025

SANWAR LAL AND OTHERS**.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate and
Mr. Pranav Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.19 dated 04.02.2025 registered under Sections 115, 110, 117(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 324(4), 333, 351(3) BNS and Section 3(1) (R) (S) Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondents.

Vide order dated 24.07.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 27.06.2025.

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 24.07.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Additional District and Sessions



Judge, Sirsa and as per the report dated 11.08.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Sirsa accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.19 dated 04.02.2025 registered under Sections 115, 110, 117(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 324(4), 333, 351(3) BNS and Section 3(1) (R) (S) Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station Rori, District Sirsa along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

28.08.2025

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No