



120 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7838-2025
Date of Decision: 22.07.2025

Muskan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Supinder Singh Sohi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 for providing protection of life and liberty and security to petitioners, who are married since 19.07.2025 and further restraining respondent Nos.4 to 7 or any other person from interfering in the married life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 7. They apprehend danger from respondent Nos.4 to 7. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 19.07.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

22.07.2025
Parveen kumar

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No