



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

216

RSA-4214-2012

Date of Decision:09.01.2025

PRITPAL SINGH

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

HARBANS SINGH AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Raghuvanshi, Advocate with
Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 12.08.2024, this Court passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the respondents has pointed out that the suit involved in the present case was only suit for injunction against the respondents. However, both the respondents have since expired, therefore, nothing more survives in the present appeal.

As response to this, learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to verify about the death of both the respondents.

Adjourned to 09.01.2025.”

2. Counsel for the appellant does not have any instructions.



RSA-4214-2012

-2-

3. In view of the statement made by counsel for the respondents as is reflected in the above reproduced order, appeal is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case, the factual position is otherwise.
4. Pending application is disposed of.

09.01.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No