



CWP-20546-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-20546-2025

Date of Decision: 22.07.2025

Jasmer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to grant benefit of encashment of unutilized leave beyond 300 days.

2. The petitioner is claiming that as per Punjab Police Rules, 1934, as applicable to State of Haryana (for short 'PPR'), there is no outer limit to encash unutilized leaves though there is limit of 300 days for such encashment in Civil Services Rules. The petitioner was a policeman and is governed by PPR. In the absence of any particular Rule in PPR, he was entitled to encashment of all unutilized leaves.

3. The claim of the petitioner is misconceived. There is no provision in the PPR to restrict encashment of leaves to the extent of 300 days and at the same moment there is no provision which provides that



unutilized leaves beyond 300 days would be encashed. Haryana Civil Services Rules specifically provide for encashment of leaves to the extent of 300 days. The petitioner has availed benefit of Civil Services Rules while encashing leaves of 300 days, thus, he cannot claim that in the absence of any provision in PPR his entire unutilized leaves should be encashed.

4. In the wake of above discussion and findings, this Court is of the considered opinion that present petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.07.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No