



229/3

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-42334-2024****Date of Decision: 20.01.2025**

Parmanand Patel

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.145 dated 21.12.2023 registered under Sections 487, 420 of IPC (120-B of IPC added later on), at Police Station Division No.3, Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not initially named in the FIR and has been falsely involved at a later stage. It has been falsely alleged that the petitioner had made a payment of Rs.49,300/- through Google Pay to Ajay Kumar, co-accused. During the course of investigation, the petitioner was arrested from his home on 20.04.2024 and is in custody since then. She further contends that after completion of investigation, a supplementary challan has already been presented against him. Learned counsel has also relied upon the orders passed by this Court in CRM-M-16402-2024 and CRM-M-44759-2024 of the even date, whereby two other co-accused,



namely, Ajay Kumar and Pinki have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 07 months and the challan has already been presented against him. Moreover, Similarly placed co-accused, namely, Ajay Kumar and Pinki have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

20.01.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No