**CRM-M-62320-2023****210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-62320-2023
Decided on : 08.07.2025****CHANDESHWAR****.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.**

Present: Mr. Aman Priye Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No.2098 dated 09.12.2022 (Annexure P-2) registered under Section 174-A at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has sought quashing of the aforesaid order as well as the FIR on account of the fact that the petitioner was never served, as there is no evidence with regard to the service upon the petitioner in the complaint under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act involving cheque No.256760 dated 16.10.2017 amounting to Rs.10 lacs drawn on State Bank of India, Bihar. In fact, the petitioner had paid the whole amount in dispute and has been acquitted in the complaint case vide judgment dated 24.11.2023 (Annexure P-3).

3. Since the offence between the petitioner and complainant is personal in nature not against the society at large, who have resolved their dispute, no fruitful purpose would be served by continuing the proceedings in

**CRM-M-62320-2023**


the instant FIR No.2098 dated 09.12.2022, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram for an offence under Section 174-A of IPC as well.

4. Since the Court is convinced on perusal of the pleadings and the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was never served with the summoning orders or any bailable/non-bailable warrants at any stage during the course of trial, the continuation of proceedings in the instant FIR, in pursuance of the order dated 12.10.2022 passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurugram would tantamount to nothing else, but an abuse of process of law, which will finally be turned to a futile exercise.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance upon the orders dated 20.07.2022 and 24.08.2022 respectively, passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-46062-2017, titled as ***“Jatin Dhawan and another versus State of Haryana and another”*** and CRMM-12534-2022, titled as ***“Krishan Kumar versus State of Haryana and another”***, respectively wherein it has been held that once the main case is dismissed as withdrawn, the continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A IPC shall be an abuse of process of law.

6. He has also placed reliance upon the orders of this Court dated 12.12.2022 and 13.12.2022 passed in CRM-M-55634-2022 titled as ***“Jinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”*** and CRM-M-45051-2022 titled as ***“Hari Singh Meena Vs. State of Haryana”***, respectively in this regard.

7. Another Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a case titled as ***“Ashok Madan vs. State of Haryana and another” reported as 2020 (4) RCR (Criminal) 87*** has also held as under:-



CRM-M-62320-2023


“No doubt, the learned counsel for the respondent has vehemently argued that the offence under Section 174A I.P.C. is independent of the main case, therefore, merely because the main case has been dismissed for want of prosecution, the present petition cannot be allowed, however, keeping in view the fact that the present FIR was registered only on account of absence from the proceedings in the main case which had been subsequently regularised by the court while granting bail to the petitioner, the default stood condoned. In such circumstances, continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A I.P.C. Shall be abuse of the process of court.

7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No.446 dated 21.08.2017, registered under Section 174A I.P.C. At Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad, as well as consequential proceedings shall stand quashed.”

8. A perusal of the relevant extract of the above judgment would show that where the main case was dismissed for want of prosecution, it was observed that the continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A of the IPC shall be an abuse of the process of court.

9. In the present case, the petitioner has been acquitted by learned JMJC, Gurugram vide judgment dated 24.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) in the main complaint under Section 138 of the Act. Once the accused stands acquitted, then the continuance of the prosecution in the FIR under Section 174-A of IPC would be an abuse of the process of Court.

10. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.2098 dated 09.12.2022, under Section 174-A of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram



CRM-M-62320-2023

with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

11. Ordered accordingly.

08.07.2025
sonia

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No