



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

268

CWP-21520-2024
Date of decision: 13.05.2025

PUSHPA DEVI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 03.07.2024 passed by the respondent No.2-Chief Architect, Department of Architecture, Chandigarh whereby the claim of the petitioner for granting her the benefit of Old Pension Scheme by considering her request for opting of GPF-cum-Old Pension Scheme pursuant to office memo dated 08.05.2023 issued by the Government of Haryana, has been rejected.

2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in the present petition is squarely covered by the judgment dated 26.07.2024 passed by this Court in a bunch of Letters Patent Appeals including *LPA-1892-2019* titled as '*State of Haryana and Others*



CWP-21520-2024

-2-

versus Jai Bhagwan' and prays that the present petition be disposed of in terms of the same.

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State is not in a position to distinguish the applicability of the said judgment or to establish that the same is not applicable to the facts of the case of the petitioner.

Disposed of in terms of judgment dated **26.07.2024** (*supra*).

13.05.2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No