

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

110

CRWP-7857-2025

Date of decision: 23.07.2025

MAHAK AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Priyanka Garg, Advocate for  
Mr. Amit Bansal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana.


\*\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)**

This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents not to harass the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, learned State Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, who assures the Court that necessary action will be taken on the representation dated 18.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) after providing an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, by passing a speaking order within a period of three days from today, in the light of notification No.12/252/2023-4HG-IV dated 17.02.2025 issued by the Home Department, Government of Haryana.



In light of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the instant petition any further.

The present petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file appeal, as is stipulated in the Standard Operating Procedure as per law, in case of any grievance left after passing of the speaking order.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

23.07.2025

Nisha Yadav

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |