



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-8224-2024

Date of decision : 01.04.2025

Rampal @ Bunda

....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing i.e. 06.11.2024, the following order was passed :-

“Prayer in this petition is for releasing the petitioner on 10 weeks regular parole leave under Section 3 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 2022 to meet his family members, in FIR No.36 dated 19.2.2005, under Sections 302, 376 IPC, registered at Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala by quashing the impugned order dated 07.12.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Commissioner, Ambala Division, Ambala.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was previously granted parole on 18.4.2020 for six weeks, which was extended from time to time. Lastly the petitioner was directed to surrender by 24.4.2021. Learned counsel then refers to the order dated 20.9.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Naraingarh, Ambala, wherein it is mentioned in para 14 thereof (at page 24 of the paper book) that the parole of the petitioner was extended upto 30.6.2021. However, admitted fact is on record that the



CRWP-8224-2024

-2-

petitioner had not even surrendered by 30.6.2021; and had only surrendered on 23.11.2021.

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that even after 30.6.2021, the parole granted to the petitioner was extended; and seeks time to place on record copy of the order(s) whereby the parole of the petitioner was extended after 30.6.2021.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions, informs that at present the petitioner is in custody.

Adjourned to 01.4.2025 to enable learned counsel for the petitioner to do the needful.”

2. The abovesaid order has not been complied with as the alleged order(s), whereby the parole of the petitioner was extended after 30.06.2021, has not been placed on record.
3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the competent authority for redressal of his grievances by submitting a fresh application.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

01.04.2025

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No