



233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38606-2025

Date of Decision:25.07.2025

KARAN KUMAR ALIAS KALIA

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Singh Panwar, Advocate for
Mr. Chahat Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.222 dated 06.10.2024, registered under Sections 127(1), 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190, 238, 117(4) & 118(2) of BNS, Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Jashanpreet Singh @ Jass and the same has been reproduced below:-

“Copy of the statement of one Jashanpreet Singh @ Jass the above said Likhari son of Jasvir Singh, resident of Haripura Basti Sangrur, age about 20 years Mobile No.7814405582. It is stated



that I am resident of the above said address. I am doing labour work. On dated 03.10.24, my brother Husanpreet Singh, sister Sumandeep Kaur were present at home and the time would be around 6.30 PM that I am already in not good terms with Varinder @ Bhindi, Billa, Bittu Rana etc., the above said all Varinder @ Bhindi, Billa, Bittu Rana, Sohian Road, Sangrur, Kashi @ Navi resident of Ubhawal, Mani Mangwl, Shubham resident of Guru Nanak Colony, Sangrur, Lav resident of Dr. Ambedkar Nagar, Sanagrur, all the aforesaid by getting into a Swift Dzire vehicle of white colour, riding on 2/3 motor cycles came out side my house and called me in the street. All the above said got down from the vehicle and motor cycles and Varinder @ Bhindi, had in is hand 'Garari' and others hand iron rods in their hands who started calling me names. When I avoiding them, was about to move, then all of them surrounded me and Varinder @ Bhindi, Billa, resident of Sohian Road picked me from my legs and Bittu Rana, resident of Sohian Road, Sangrur hit at my left leg below knee with his iron rod and I fell down. Navi hit at my right leg below knee with his iron rod, then Kasi @ Navi hit on my right leg, then Shubham hit near my left elbow, then Mani and Kalu hit with some weapon on my left hand and wrist. All of them gave me beatings with rods while I was lying fallen on the ground. Varinder @ Bhindi hit on my face with the 'Garari' which hit at my teeth. When I shouted, 'Maarta, Maarta' (killed, killed) I, then my brother and neighbourers helped to save me from the spot and all of them, giving threatening, ran away from the spot. Then my family members got me admitted in Civil Hospital, Sangrur, where I am under treatment. The reason for the enmity is that earlier we had a quarrel with them and a compromise was reached verbally. Legal action as deemed fit may be taken against them. Statement has been got recorded to you, have listened to it, it is correct. LTI Jashanpreet Singh, complainant”.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, the occurrence had taken place on 03.10.2024, whereas the FIR was got registered by the complainant on 06.10.2024 i.e. after a delay of about 03 days. Even the offences under Sections 117(4) and 118(2) of BNS were added later on, just to make the offence graver without any justification. Learned counsel further contends that even it has been shown that the petitioner was carrying some weapons and had caused injury with the same on the left wrist of the injured. However, the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 18.06.2025 and the recoveries have already been effected from him and others. Even the injured has been discharged long ago.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he admits that no other criminal case has been registered against the petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 01 month and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. Even the injury attributed to the petitioner has been declared to be simple in nature. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his



furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

25.07.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No