

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218(2)

DECIDED ON: 24th July, 2025

(1) RSA No. 3559 of 2012

2025:PHHC:091820



Sukh Lal and others

.....Appellants

VERSUS

Kamla Devi and others

.....Respondents

(2) RSA No. 3554 of 2012

2025:PHHC:091828



Sukh Lal and others

.....Appellants

VERSUS

Jai Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA.

Present: Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate,
Mr. Arun Biriwal, Advocate and
Mr. Anshuman Sethi, Advocate for appellants.

Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate
for respondents in RSA No. 3559 of 2012 and
for respondents No. 1 to 5 and 7 in RSA No. 3554 of 2012.

Ms. Poorvi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for respondent in RSA No. 3554 of 2012.

NIDHI GUPTA., J (ORAL)

It is very candidly submitted by learned counsel for the appellants that although three letters dated 22.11.2022, 28.04.2023 and 08.04.2024 have been written by learned counsel to the appellants, however, none of the appellants are forthcoming to pursue the present appeal. It is submitted that learned counsel for the appellants has no instructions and prays that the present appeal be disposed of for lack of instructions.

Disposed of accordingly.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

24th July, 2025
reema

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No