



112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20475-2025

Date of Decision :05.08.2025

Minakshi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, Advocate,
Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate,
Mr. Paramjit Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to decide the demand notices dated 24.03.2025, Annexure P-25 and 20.02.2025, Annexure P-26 and thereafter grant the appropriate relief immediately.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case third respondent is directed to decide their pending legal notices, dated 24.03.2025, Annexure P-25 and 20.02.2025, Annexure P-26, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned State counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the pending legal notices, dated 24.03.2025, and 20.02.2025, will be decided by the third respondent/Director, Elementary



Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

August 05, 2025
ps

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*