

2025:PHHC:091953



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

CWP-20969-2025

Date of Decision: 24.07.2025

SUDHIR AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenging the final result dated 28.05.2025 whereby the petitioners have not been selected despite crossing the cutoff in their respective categories, the instant writ petition has been filed. A further prayer has also been made for directing respondent No.5 (wrongly mentioned as respondent No.6 in the petition) to recommend the petitioners against the post of Group-D alongwith all consequential benefits including arrears of salary, increments etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the names of the petitioners have not been recommended by respondent No.5-HSSC for Group-D posts solely for want of verification report of the Sports Gradation Certificates of the petitioners. He further contends that notwithstanding the Sports Gradation Certificates of the petitioners having been submitted to respondent No.5-HSSC, the revised result has been declared by the respondents without considering the legitimate claim of the petitioners. It is submitted that apart from the gradation certificates in question, the petitioners also participated in other sporting events. He relies on the letter dated 10.05.2022

issued by the office of Chief Secretary, Haryana to the effect that a candidate having sports certificates of even other game is eligible for getting the same verified in accordance with the instructions. Despite the said certificates having been submitted to the Haryana Staff selection Commission, no decision has been taken. He further submits that he would be satisfied at this stage, if respondent No.5-HSSC is directed to treat the instant writ petition as a representation and to look into the grievance(s) of the petitioners and to take an expeditious decision thereon.

Notice of motion.

Dr. (Ms.) Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of with the consent of the parties and without commenting on the merits of the case, by directing respondent No.5-HSSC to treat the instant writ petition as a representation and to take expeditious and appropriate decision thereon as well as on the eligibility of the petitioners on the strength of Sports Gradation Certificates submitted by them and to convey said decision to the petitioners within a period of three months of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

JULY 24, 2025.

Rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No