



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

234

CRM-M-38677-2025

Date of decision: 25.07.2025

RAHUL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 seeking regular bail in FIR No.26 dated 10.02.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS and Section 118(2) of BNS added later on, registered at Police Station Faridabad Old, District Faridabad.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has caused injury to the victim namely Dinesh, the brother of the complainant, with a beer bottle on his neck and injuries were found to be grievous in nature.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter has now been settled and there is no dispute pending between the parties. He further states that the petitioner is in custody since 31.05.2025 and has already undergone custody period of more than 02 months.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way



of filing of custody certificate dated 24.07.2025 and further states that the petitioner has undergone custody period of 02 months and 17 days.

6. Mr. Rahul Gugnani, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant by way of filing *vakalatnama* which is taken on record and states that he has no objection in case the concession of bail is granted to the petitioner as the matter has been compromised between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 months and 17 days and the fact that the matter has since been compromised, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice and also conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time. Therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

9. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

25th July, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No