



311

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38348-2025
DECIDED ON: 09.12.2025

RAM KUMAR AND OTHERS
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Shailender Singh, Advocate
Ms. Priya Bhati, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Rinky Tomar, Advocate and
Mr. Vikas Choudhary, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973) for seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 15.07.2025 (P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
151	15.08.2014	324, 326, 506/34 of IPC	Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar

2. Vide order dated 27.10.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



3. Report has since been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sub Division Bilaspur District Yamuna Nagar in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) there were total 5 accused and one out of them namely Rekha Rani has expired after registration of FIR, therefore instant petition has been filed by remaining 4 of them. It is also submitted that Sh. Munna Ram who was father of the respondent No.2 is a victim but he has also expired during the proceedings after registration of impugned FIR. However, his son being involved in the present petition impleaded as respondent No.2 and he has already recorded his statement before the court of learned Magistrate regarding the authenticity of compromise, thereby present petition can be disposed of in view of the said compromise.

4. Compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

5. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Five accused (One is expired namely Rekha Rani).
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Two victim/complainant (One expired namely Munna Ram).
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.



	High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nothing worth noticing reported

6. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

09.12.2025
mahima

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No