



104
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-20200-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.07.2025

Mohammed

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Salman Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.4 and 5 to enter the name of the petitioner in the register of the Haryana State Pharmacy Council and to issue a license to the petitioner and to decide the representations dated 19.05.2025 and 20.06.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. On the face of it, the annexures attached with the present petition are absolutely illegible and cannot be read. The petitioner has omitted to file typed or certified copies of the same and instead a prayer has been made in para (v) of the prayer clause for exemption from filing certified copies of Annexures P-1 to P-5. Such a prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is hereby rejected.



3. This Court cannot proceed further with this case with totally illegible annexures and despite specific objections taken by the Registry of this Court, the objections were not removed. The prayer in the present petition is for deciding the representation (Annexure P-5), wherein there is nothing on the record to show as to by what method the aforesaid representation was given and as to whether it was given by registered post or e-mail etc. Even a query was raised to the learned counsel for the petitioner as to by what method, the aforesaid representation was given to which he states that it was given personally.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed.

21.07.2025

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No