



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-38279-2025

Date of decision: 21.07.2025

SUMER SINGH**...Petitioner****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Karanvir Hooda, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)**1. Prayer**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0199 dated 04.06.2025 under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, registered at Police Station Model Town, Rewari, District Rewari.

2. Contentions**On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the present FIR registered against the petitioner is totally false and baseless as entire matter revolves around documentary evidence and a civil suit is already pending before the trial Court since 03 years.



Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigating officer.

Notice of motion.

On behalf of the State

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Addl. AG, Haryana appearing on advance notice does not controvert the above said fact that the present FIR has been arising out of the civil dispute and seeks his custodial interrogation to recover documents involved in the present FIR.

3. **Analysis**

Be that as it may, after given a thoughtful consideration to the submissions as made, by the counsel for both the parties it is found that the instant FIR has been registered by the complainant only to harass the petitioner as the civil dispute is pending between them and State has failed to make out the case against the petitioner as to why his custodial interrogation is required. Hence, this Court finds no reason to deny the petitioner the concession of anticipatory bail, wherein the petitioner has bona fide intentions and is willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency within the stipulated time period.

4. **Relief**

Hence, in view of the admitted set of circumstances before this Court, the petitioner is hereby directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to him joining investigation and reporting to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer.



The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under

Section 482(2) of BNSS of which are reproduced below :-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stand cancelled.

The petition in the aforesaid terms stand allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

21.07.2025

Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No