

2025:PHHC:148673



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-38200-2025

Date of decision: October 29, 2025

MANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.88 dated 13.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 115(2), 127(2), 109, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Sadar Khanna, District Ludhiana.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the present FIR, the petitioner along with other co-accused Tochi, Piara Singh and Harjit assaulted the complainant Gurjeet Singh with kirpans causing him injuries.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case and he has been languishing in custody since 13.05.2025, and that too when no specific injury has attributed to the petitioner. Injury No.2 on person of complainant Gurjeet Singh has been declared simple in nature. The head injury is not attributed to the petitioner but to co-accused Piara Singh. In these



circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for extension of concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel, while opposing the prayer and submissions made by the counsel opposite, has not disputed the custody period of the petitioner. However, learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner also actively participated in the occurrence and does not deserve the concession of bail.

5. Heard.

6. The petitioner has been in custody since 13.05.2025. The grievous injury has been attributed to co-accused Piara Singh and injury No.2 has been declared simple in nature. The petitioner is not found to be involved in any other criminal case. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose and nothing is to be recovered from him. So, no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

7. Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions, as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

October 29, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether reportable :

Yes/No

Yes/No