

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 03.02.2025

...Respondents

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

3. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar and got their statements recorded. Report dated 20.12.2024 has been received whereby after recording the statements of



the parties, the Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Status report by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police (North), Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel submits that in the present case, the injury was allegedly caused with the butt of the pistol on the right eye of the injured and the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

6. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioner.

7. Resultantly, FIR No. 79 dated 07.06.2021 registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 (added lateron 201 of IPC) (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

03.02.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No